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Balance of Fuel Cell Power Plant

In addition to stack, there are other components:

- Fuel processing system that requires a fuel reformer, chemical reactors, heat exchangers, fans/blowers, burner, etc.

- Air management system that requires a compressor, turbine, heat exchangers, fan, motor, water tank, etc.

- Power conditioning system that has inverter, converter, batteries, motor, etc.
Gas Supply Units

- Air and fuel gas have to be supplied to fuel cell systems for cooling and to provide oxygen to the cathode.
- Pumps, fans, compressors, and blowers have to be used.
- Energy of exhaust gases from a fuel cell can sometimes be recovered using a turbine, making use of what would otherwise go to waste.
- The technology for such equipments is very mature developed for other applications.
- The needs of fuel cells vary widely, based on their sizes and applications, and so we need to look at a wide range of ‘gas supply devices’.

Fuel Cell Technology
• **Compressors** - their different types and performance, such as the temperature rise of a gas as it is compressed and the power needed to run an air compressor.

• **Turbines** - to harness the energy of exhaust gases, the working conditions and performance.

• **Ejectors** - a very simple type of pump that can often be used to circulate hydrogen gas if it comes from a high pressure tank, or for recycling anode gases.

• **Fans and blowers** - for cooling and for cathode gas supply in small fuel cells.

• **Membrane or diaphragm pumps** - to pump reactant air and hydrogen through small (200W) to medium (3kW) PEMFCs.
Heat Exchangers in PEMFC Systems

- Cooling of the compressed air
- Cooling of the stack
- Fuel reforming
Operating Temperature@80ºC

Energy Distribution: Vehicle with Otto-Cycle Engine
- Waste Heat → Exhaust Gas
- Waste Heat → Cooling Circuit
- Energy available at Crankshaft
- Cooling by Radiation

Energy Distribution: Vehicle with H2-Fuelcell Drive
- Electric Consumers & Peripheral Aggregates
- Losses on Electro Motor
- Losses on Propulsion

Power/radiator/exhaust
IC: 33/33/33%; PEMFC: 40/50/10%

PEMFC: Larger heat load to radiator
PEMFC: High Temperature Required

- For H₂-fueled PEMFCs, 110-120 °C best fitted for heat rejection, a bit high tolerance to CO (50 ppm) as a gain;

- For hydrocarbon-based H₂-reformate, 140-160°C shows better CO-tolerance (0.1-0.5%), then PROX elimination;

- At 160 °C, oxygen reduction activity improved, but not significant impacts due to a loss in equilibrium voltage;

- Carbon-support material stability becoming problematic if higher than 160°C;

120°C suggested for high-T membrane R&D.
The Hydrogen from a high-pressure cylinder tank

About 20% of the fuel cell power for a compressor in a 100 kW system

Air supply to cathode at 2-3 atm; EX: 20°C air compressed from 1 to 2 atm. Temperature will be 175°C;

Fuel Cell Technology
10 to 20% of the hydrogen will be burned to supply heat for the reactor.
Example: Plate-Fin Heat Exchanger

- Type of Fluid: Gas-to-gas
- Applications: Trucks, cars, airplane
- Mass and Volume reduction - Compact Design

Energy Recovery, Process Industry, Air-Conditioning System, etc.
**SOFC Heat Balance**

**Internal reforming:**

\[ CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow CO + 3H_2 \]

\( (\Delta H = 206kJ / mol) \)

**Fuel cell:**

\[ H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow H_2O \]

\( (\Delta H = -242kJ / mol) \)

- **To preheat the anode and cathode streams**
- **To Recover heat from the exhaust streams**
General Demands for Heat Exchangers

- Compactness
- Low pressure drop
- Elimination of the hot spot (SOFC)
- High Effectiveness (PEMFC)
Fuel Cell Technology

Heat Transfer

Commercial Recuperators

757-300 RR Precooler

F22 Primary Heat Exchanger

Si$_3$N$_4$ Ceramic Heat Exchanger

Heat Transfer /Thermal Management
Turbo-machinery

RAH-66 Fan

50 kW Turbogenerator

Trident Gas Hydraulic Assembly Turbopump

PEMFC Turbocompressor
Controls
➢ Model-base control and optimization algorithms including Fuel Cell Dynamics
➢ Component Library
➢ Rapid prototyping
➢ Load following control

System for PEMFC
➢ Sensors
➢ Relative humidity
➢ Mass air flow
➢ Hydrogen
➢ Carbon monoxide
System Development Approach

• Low-cost fabrication processes and materials along with compact, lightweight component designs
  – **SOFC**: Tape calendering fabrication process, stack designs incorporating thin-electrolyte cells and thin-foil metallic interconnects
  – **Fuel processor**: Catalytic partial oxidation (CPOX)

• Component designs based on system requirements and other design methodologies (e.g., design-for manufacturing, design-to-cost)

• Focus on lessons learned from small (50W to several kW) system operation

Fuel Cell Technology
PEMFC Systems

• Many parameters affect PEMFC performance, such as operating temperature and pressure, air stoichiometry, reactant humidity, water retaining properties of the gas diffusion and membrane.

• Three typical PEM fuel cell systems are outlined here to illustrate the BOP issues:

  ➢ *Small 12-W system*
  ➢ *Medium 2-kW system*
  ➢ *205-kW fuel cell engine*
Small 12-W PEMFC system

• Designed for use in remote conditions, such as when camping, in boats, for military applications and for remote communications

• No ventilation fans or other moving parts. The stack is the small cylinder in the middle of the picture

Diagram:
- Water diffuses out over MEA
- Air diffuses in over MEA
- Hydrogen is supplied up a central tube to the undersides of all the MEAs
• Hydrogen feed is to the anodes on the underside of each MEA. The top of each MEA has a thick gas diffusion layer that allows oxygen to diffuse in and water to diffuse out to the edge where it evaporates.

• The entire periphery is exposed to the air, so there is sufficient air circulation without any need for fans or blowers.

The supply is 25W of hydrogen power, and output is 12W of electrical power. The losses are simply 13W of heat – there are no other losses, around 48% efficiency at the rated power.
Medium 2-kW PEMFC System

The reactant air is pumped by pump A, through the humidifier B, to the stack C. Coolant air is blown up through the stack by blower D. The hydrogen fuel is circulated using the membrane pump E.
There is a separate air cooling, and the reactant air is humidified using the exit air as illustrated. The hydrogen gas is circulated using a pump.
The output power is 1.64 kW, heat loss 1.8kW together with 360W of electrical loss (to drive the three blowers and pumps and to electronic controller. The net output efficiency is 42.5% (LHV)

• The reactant airflow is less than the cooling airflow, but against a pressure of about 0.1 bar above the atmospheric pressure, due to the long length and narrowness of the reactant air path through the stack and humidifier, i.e., 200W VS. 70W for the cooling air.
• The hydrogen gas flow rate is much less and the pump only requires 50W.
• All these pumps need controlling, with extra 40 W.
205-kW PEMFC System

• Two stacks (750 cells in series for each) developed by Ballard for bus application, water and glycol cooling, P=3 bar.

• The net maximum output power is 205 VS. total 260 kW, with 55kW consumed by pumps and compressors in the system.

• The unit is about 2.5m wide, 1.6m deep, and 1.33m high.
A 300-kW class SOFC/GT combined cycle plant built by Siemens Westinghouse. The SOFC is shown in the middle of the diagram, and operates at about 10 bar inside the cylindrical pressure vessel.
## Overall performance of Siemens Westinghouse 300-kW and 1-MW class hybrid systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>300 kW</th>
<th>1 MW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical net AC efficiency</td>
<td>&gt;55%</td>
<td>&gt;55%, approaching 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFC AC power</td>
<td>244 kW</td>
<td>805 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas turbine AC power</td>
<td>65 kW</td>
<td>220 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net AC power</td>
<td>300 kW</td>
<td>1014 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure ratio of turbine compressor</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions: CO₂</td>
<td>&lt;350 kg MWh⁻¹</td>
<td>&lt;350 kg MWh⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nox</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0 ppm</td>
<td>0 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox</td>
<td>0 ppm</td>
<td>0 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>0 ppm</td>
<td>0 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground noise level (5 m from housing)</td>
<td>&lt;75 dBA</td>
<td>&lt;75 dBA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Diagram showing the components and flow of a Siemens Westinghouse hybrid system](image)
The advantages are enhanced by operating in synergy, and the internal reforming SOFC is run under conditions giving low fuel utilisation. This enables a high power output for a relatively low stack size, and higher efficiency than SOFC or PEMFC alone.
Fuel Cell System Analysis

Macro-model of world energy system

Dispersed power production using engines, turbines, fuel cells, and other systems

Stack model
Fundamental electrochemistry–micromodelling

Balance of plant equipment

Fuel processing

Raw fuel
Coal, oil, natural gas, wood, peat, solar, wind, hydro-electric, nuclear, etc.

DC to AC conversion

Electric power—heating (or cooling), lighting, consumer electronics, etc.

Consumer needs

Fuel Cell Technology
Well-To-Wheels Analysis

- System developers need to understand that they cannot just consider emissions from the stack in isolation, but instead need to look at the whole system from ‘well-to-wheels.’
- When fuel is converted, or packaged, or transported, there is an associated loss of energy. The more conversion and transportation steps, the greater the loss.
Case Study: GM Analysis

- Well-to-wheel energy consumption for the GM North America study
Major Findings

- **Total energy use**: For the same amount of energy delivered to the vehicle tank, of 75 fuel types studied, petroleum-based fuels and compressed natural gas exhibit the lowest energy losses from the well-to-tank (WTT).

- **Greenhouse gas (GHG) emission**: Ethanol (derived from renewable cellulose sources such as corn) offers a significant reduction in GHG emissions.

- **Tank-to-wheels efficiency**: Hydrogen-based FCVs exhibit significantly higher fuel economy than those employing on-board fuel processors.

- **Overall well-to-wheels efficiency**: Hybrid systems offer consistently higher fuel economy than conventional vehicles.
Power-Train or Drive-Train Analysis

- In a conventional diesel or gasoline-fuelled vehicle, energy is transmitted by a mechanical power train.
- In an FCV the power train is electrical.
- In a hybrid vehicle it may be a combination of the two.